## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Cordelius Anthony Martin  Defendant	Case No. 1:12 Cr 221
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	• •	- Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describ a federal offense a state or local offen	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	existed – that is	\$ 2156(a)(4) are an affance listed in 1911 \$ C \$ 2222b(a)(5)(D) for
	which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	t involves:
		arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250
(2)		ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preperson or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ident has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)		
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten ye.  Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801	
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
(1)	Altern There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ative Findings (B)
, ,		appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
<u> </u>		of the Reasons for Detention
ı		at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is a 24-year-old man with a history of substance abuse. In July 2007, he was arrested for delivery of cocaine; at the		
and given July 18, he was	en two years of probation. He violated probation a , 2012, defendant was arrested after delivering cra working from disclosed more crack cocaine in dist to residents of Niles. His previous violations of bo	n of property. Defendant was convicted of the drug offense in 2008 nd was sentenced in November 2009 to a nine-month jail term. On ck cocaine to an informant. Subsequent search of the apartment that ribution amounts. He admitted to officers that he is a supplier of crack and probation show that he will not abide by conditions of bond in
		tions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Co defendar	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from The defendant must be afforded a reasonable oppourt or on request of an attorney for the Government to the United States marshal for a court appearant	
Date:	September 7, 2012 Judge's S	Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge